

IFW  
2877

IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Winfried Denk  
Michale Sean Fee  
Fritjof Helmchen  
David William Tank

CASE 5-4-1-5

Serial No. 09/971856 Group Art Unit 2877

Filed October 5, 2001

Examiner A. Merlino

Title System And Method For Optical Scanning

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Enclosed is an Amendment Under 37 CFR § 1.312 and an Interview Summary Under 37 CFR § 1.133 in the above-identified application.

NO ADDITIONAL FEE REQUIRED

In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit Deposit Account No. 12-2325 as required to correct the error.

Respectfully,

John F. McCabe, Attorney  
Reg. No. 42854  
908-582-6866.

Date: Dec. 23, 2004

Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)  
Lucent Technologies Inc.  
101 Crawfords Corner Road  
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

Date of Deposit December 23, 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Patent Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated above.

Catherine F. Dugan  
Printed name of person mailing paper

Signature of person mailing paper



**IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Patent Application**

Inventors: Winfried Denk et al Case No.: 5-4-1-5  
Serial No.: 09/971,856 Group Art Unit: 2877  
Filing Date: Oct. 5, 2001 Examiner: Amanda H. Merlino  
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTICAL SCANNING

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.133**

On Nov. 18, 2004, Examiner Amanda Merlino initiated a series of telephonic interviews with John McCabe, i.e., representative of Applicants.

During the interviews, the following occurred:

Examiner Merlino stated that claim 11 – 19 were allowable.

Examiner Merlino stated that claim 1 was novel.

Examiner Merlino stated that claim 1 was obvious over other art.

Examiner Merlino stated that the combination of claims 1 and 6 would probably be allowable.

Mr. McCabe refused the proposal to incorporate claim 6 into claim 1.

Mr. McCabe stated that a clarity amendment to the last clause of claim 1 would be acceptable.

Examiner Merlino stated that claim 1 would probably be allowable with the clarity amendment if claim 3 also incorporated into claim 1.

To expedite prosecution, Mr. McCabe accepted such an amendment of claim 1.

In the event of any non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325** to correct the error.

Respectfully,

By John McCabe

John F. McCabe, Reg. No. 42,854  
Telephone: 908-582-6866

Date: Nov. 27, 2004

Lucent Technologies, Inc.  
Docket Administrator  
101 Crawfords Corner Road (Rm. 3J-219)  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733



**IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Patent Application**

Inventors: Winfried Denk et al

Case No.: 5-4-1-5

Serial No.: 09/971,856

Group Art Unit: 2877

Filing Date: Oct. 5, 2001

Examiner: Amanda H. Merlino

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTICAL SCANNING

Mail Stop: Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.312**

Applicant requests entry of this amendment in response to the Notice of Allowance.

**a. Introductory Comments**

Claims 1 – 2 and 4 – 19 have been allowed.

Herein, Applicants request an amendment to claim 1.